

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

| United States of America, |) Case No. 24-m - 71189 |
|---|---|
| Plaintiff, | STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME LED UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT |
| v. | ALIC 4 × 2001 |
| Richard Lee Hardman Defendant(s). | CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT |
| For the reasons stated by the parties on the reconstruence outweigh the best interest of the p | NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND OFFICE 7 24 and finds that the ends of justice served by the ublic and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § and bases this continuance on the following factor(s): |
| Failure to grant a continuance v See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(| yould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. i). |
| defendants, the nature of | mplex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact property adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ablished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). |
| Failure to grant a continuance vertaking into account the exercise | would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). |
| Failure to grant a continuance v counsel's other scheduled case See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(| would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv). |
| Failure to grant a continuance of necessary for effective preparations See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B) | would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time tion, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. (iv). |
| disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the the time limits for a preliminar extending the 30-day time peri | ant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt ne court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending y hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for od for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b). |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| DATED: 8/15/24 | Kandis A. Westmore |
| | United States Magistrate Judge |
| STIPULATED: | 1 Janielle |
| Attorney for Defends | Assistant United States Attorney |